



Advances in Research

19(1): 1-2, 2019; Article no.AIR.48304
ISSN: 2348-0394, NLM ID: 101666096

The Turnitin Plagiarism Check-up System – Problem for Professors Instead Students

Lalić Hrvoje^{1*}

¹Medical School, University of Rijeka, Croatia.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AIR/2019/v19i130112

Editor(s):

- (1) Dr. Oswin Grollmuss, Professor, Department of Pediatric and Adult Resuscitation, Congenital Heart of Centre Chirurgical Marie Lannelongue, University Paris XI, France.
(2) Dr. Francisco Marquez-Linares, Professor of Chemistry, Nanomaterials Research Group, School of Science and Technology, University of Turabo, USA.

Reviewers:

- (1) MV Seeman, University of Toronto, Canada.
(2) Gisele Marcia de Oliveira Freitas, Federal University of Bahia, Brazil.
(3) John Walsh, RMIT University, Vietnam.
(4) Nazan Karaoglu, Necmettin Erbakan University, Turkey.
(5) Dr. Ruchi Galundia, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, India.
Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48304>

Received 20 January 2019

Accepted 08 April 2019

Published 19 April 2019

Letter to the Editor

Keywords: Professors; students; undergraduate and graduate theses.

Dear Sir,

At the Medical School University Rijeka, Croatia, in use is the Turnitin plagiarism check-up control system for undergraduate and graduate students' theses. Each professor, the object leader have to check the thesis by the Turnitin check-up plagiarism system. The professor's obligations overburdened at the last year, because the professor have to put personally the student's thesis on the Internet system.

Students are very demanding in searching for their rights, i.e. in searching that their works are checked on time by the mentioned system. It results in professor's rejection of taking new

obligations that implicate problems to students. Professors who are employed on the Faculty full time become constituent professors wished that or no, because students must to finish their studies. Students stayed handicapped for most of the mentors from clinical branch of medicine.

Plagiarism in medicine originated from the medioeval when Valverde copied Versalius' pictures [1]. Namely, no one medical research can not be released of plagiarism for 100%, because new researches use achieved scientific knowledge. And well known institutes from developed countries are not immune of plagiarism as well as many of recognized open access journals [2]. On the other side, some

*Corresponding author: E-mail: hlalic@inet.hr;

countries have lack of publication in international journals and consequently confront shortages in the knowledge about plagiarism [3]. Plagiarism is not well-known among North-African hospital doctors [4]. In African journals moderate text plagiarism is extensive [5].

The plagiarism can cause the retraction of article [6].

The mentor has the most important role for avoidance of plagiarism. High ethical values incorporated in students' education result in plagiarism absence [7,8].

From the author's of this Letter to Editor personal practice, plagiarism of incorporated about 20 students' theses in Turnitin check-up system showed low level of up to 25% of similarities for all students that is good result due to usage of Internet, Medline and other on-line available data.

In conclusion, if professor is good mentor and educator, if he gives to each student other topic for research, if he incorporate ethical standards to his students, then there is not a danger of the plagiarism. It means that Turnitin and similar check-up plagiarism systems should be left for doctoral theses, books, papers for ranked journals and not for hundreds of students undergraduated and graduated theses. If professors are obliged for Turnitin, then they lost their time for education of students and serious scientific research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Markatos K, Arkoudi K, Androustos G. Juan Valverde se Amusco (1525-1588): An eminent anatomist of the renaissance or a plagiarist of Versalius? His work and its impact in renaissance anatomy. *Acta Chir Belg.* 2017;117(6):407-411.
2. Dal-Ré R, Marušić A. Potential predatory journals are colonizing the ICMJE recommendations list of followers. *Neth J Med.* 2019;77(2):92-96.
3. Akhtar W, Ali A, Aslam M, Gul-e-khanda. Clinical radiology reserach in Pakistan: From evidence to practice. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2009;59(8):544-6.
4. Khemiss M, Berrezouga L, Ben Khelifa M, Masmoudi T, Ben Saad H. Understanding of plagiarism among North-African university hospital doctors (UHDs): A pilot study. *Account Res.* 2019;26(2):65-84.
5. Rohwer A, Wager E, Young T, Garner P. Plagiarism in reserach: A survey of African medical journals. *MBJ Open.* 2018;8(11): e024777.
6. Shamin T. Dana regarding articles retracted from PubMed indexed dental journals from India. *Dana Bref.* 2018;1.
7. Holm S, Hoffman B. Associations between attitides towards scientific msconduct and self-reported behaviour. *Account Res.* 2018;25(5):290-300.
8. Vasallo J. WASP (Write a scientific paper): Plagiarism and the ethics of dealing with colleagues. *Early Hum Dev.* 2018;124:65-67.

© 2019 Hrvoje; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/48304>